# Development Data Boot Camp Summary Statistics

Ge Sun

University of Notre Dame

May 23, 2023

#### Introduction

- You will find, almost in every empirical paper, there is a table called "Summary statistics" or "Descriptive statistics" in its "Data" section.
- It gives us a basic understanding about the key variables.
   \* Think about how would we describe a school's teaching quality.
- ► It may have different forms, but usually it talks about two main things: **location** and **variability**

## Introduction

- ▶ **Location** tells you the central value of your variable
  - \* mean
  - \* median
  - \* mode
- Variability tells you the spread of the data from the center value
  - \* variance
  - \* standard deviation
  - \* range (maximum, minimum)
  - \* other quantiles
- Others
  - \* number of observations

### Stata Guidance

## How can we get these numbers from Stata?

#### ► Location:

- \* mean sum
- \* median sum, detail
- \* mode mode

## ▶ Variability:

- \* variance sum, detail
- \* standard deviation sum
- \* range (maximum, minimum) sum
- \* other quantiles sum, detail

#### Others

\* number of observations sum, detail

## Example of descriptive statistics

TABLE 1 SELECTED SAMPLE MEANS

|   | CPS<br>(1979, 1983)   | PSID<br>(1976-84 |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|
| Average log weekly earnings (1967 dollars)              | 4.86                  |                  |
|   | (.004)                |                  |
| Average log hourly earnings (1967 dollars)              |                       | 1.12             |
|   |                       | (.004)           |
| lighest grade completed                                 | 12.82                 | 11.98            |
|   | (.022)                | (.022)           |
| Age – school – 5  | 21.21                 | 20.87            |
|   | (.090)                | (.097)           |
| Jnion status  | .342                  | .29              |
|   | (.003)                | (.003)           |
| Fenure*   | 8.337                 | 83.03            |
|   | (.059)                | (.659)           |
| Nonwhite  | .097                  | .322             |
|   | (.002)                | (.003)           |
| Ever married  | .907                  | .854             |
|   | (.002)                | (.003)           |
| SMSA  | .556                  | .527             |
|   | (.004)                | (.004)           |
| Unemployment rate at start of job                       | 4.778                 | 4.676            |
| • •   | (.013)                | (.013)           |
| Minimum rate since start of job                         | 4.045                 | 4.181            |
| •   | (.014)                | (.013)           |
| <ul> <li>1 – (emp/pop) ratio at start of job</li> </ul> | 22.34                 | 22.49            |
|   | (.021)                | (.025)           |
| Minimum 1 - (emp/pop) ratio since start of job          | 22.01                 | 22.24            |
|   | (.023)                | (.025)           |
|   | Number of Observation |                  |
| 1976  |                       | 1,958            |
| 1977  |                       | 2,130            |
| 1978  |                       | 2,262            |
| 1979  | 9,422                 | 2,376            |
| 1980  |                       | 2,497            |
| 1981  |                       | 2,433            |
| 1982  |                       | 2,236            |
| 1983  | 9,286                 | 2,110            |
| 1984  |                       | 1,957            |

<sup>\*</sup> Measured in years for the CPS and in months for the PSID.

## Example of descriptive statistics

Table 1 Sample summary statistics

|   | 1936-2005 |
|---|-----------|
| Total # of person-year observations           | 15.883    |
| Total # of executives                         | 2.862     |
| Average # of firms in each year               | 76        |
| Average # of years each executive is observed | 5.6       |
| Median # of years each executive is observed  | 4         |
| Fraction of obs. in firms with market value   |           |
| Ranked 1-50                                   | 39.0      |
| Ranked 50-100                                 | 19.6      |
| Ranked 100-200                                | 19.1      |
| Ranked 200-500                                | 16.7      |
| Ranked 500+                                   | 5.4       |
|   |           |

Based on the three highest-paid officers in the largest fifty firms in 1940, 1960, and 1990 (a total of 101 firms). Rankings by market value are based on all firms appearing in the CRPS database, which includes all publicy traded firms in the NYSE, AMEX, and NASDAQ stock exchanges. Annual market value is measured at the end of the fistal year.

Table 2 Distribution of job titles

|                                    | Percent of observations |           |           |  |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
|                                    | Entire sample           | 1936–1969 | 1970-2005 |  |
| Chairman of the board              | 21.2                    | 15.8      | 25.9      |  |
| Vice-chairman                      | 6.4                     | 2.0       | 10.3      |  |
| President                          | 28.5                    | 31.6      | 25.9      |  |
| Chief executive officer            | 15.3                    | 2.3       | 26.8      |  |
| Chief financial officer            | 1.8                     | 0.0       | 3.4       |  |
| Chief operating officer            | 5.0                     | 0.2       | 9.1       |  |
| Executive or senior vice-president | 21.6                    | 15.3      | 27.2      |  |
| Vice-president                     | 15.2                    | 27.8      | 4.1       |  |
| Treasurer                          | 1.2                     | 2.4       | 0.1       |  |
| Comptroller                        | 0.6                     | 1.3       | 0.1       |  |
| Other job title                    | 8.7                     | 8.4       | 9.0       |  |
| Director                           | 84.7                    | 91.7      | 78.6      |  |

Based on the three highest-paid officers in the largest fifty firms in 1940, 1960, and 1990 (a total of 101 firms), and so mot each column is greates that 100% because some officers hold multiple titles. Other categories not column in the column is greates and the column is greatest and the



## Example of descriptive statistics

TABLE 1—DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS: SCHOOL AND STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS AT BASELINE

| Variable                  | Treatment | Control  | Standardized diff |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| Number of schools         | 149       | 164      |                   |
| Urban                     | 0.107     | 0.073    | 0.119             |
|                           | [0.311]   | [0.261]  |                   |
| School is co-ed           | 0.698     | 0.677    | 0.045             |
|                           | [0.461]   | [0.469]  |                   |
| Males in grades 6 and 7   | 66.427    | 65.270   | 0.028             |
|                           | [45.948]  | [35.963] |                   |
| Females in grades 6 and 7 | 75.125    | 74.212   | 0.015             |
|                           | [60.081]  | [58.344] |                   |
| Number of students        | 7,051     | 7,758    |                   |
| Student's age             | 11.833    | 11.854   | -0.017            |
|                           | [1.261]   | [1.250]  |                   |
| Female                    | 0.566     | 0.544    | 0.044             |
|                           | [0.496]   | [0.498]  |                   |
| Hindu                     | 0.945     | 0.953    | -0.036            |
|                           | [0.227]   | [0.211]  |                   |

► Standardized diff evaluates how "significant" the difference between the treatment and control group.

# Why do we need a summary statistics table during the research process?

- ▶ Help us understand data, get some idea on the size of effect
  - \* For the same 1% increase in income level, the number is huge for billionaires but not very substantial for extremely poor people.
- ▶ Identify some peculiar values in variables, which is a nice way to know whether we have cleaned the data well.

# Why do we need a summary statistics table during the research process?

- ▶ Help us understand data, get some idea on the size of effect
  - \* For the same 1% increase in income level, the number is huge for billionaires but not very substantial for extremely poor people.
- Identify some peculiar values in variables, which is a nice way to know whether we have cleaned the data well.
- ► Tips for a research assistant:
  - \* when you provide the summary statistics table to the advisor, the more information in the table, the better!
  - \* remember to adjust the unit of the tables. (1,000,000 (\$) is not each to read, try 1 (million \$) and specify it on the table.)

# One possible format

| Variable        | Mean | Std   | N     | Min  | Max   | Median |
|-----------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|--------|
| age             | 36.9 | 7.07  | 6,550 | 25   | 50    | 37     |
| wage (\$)       | 9.57 | 11.41 | 6,550 | 0.30 | 187.5 | 6      |
| edyears (years) | 7.96 | 3.69  | 6,550 | 0    | 12    | 9      |
| female          | 0.38 | 0.49  | 6,550 | 0    | 1     | 0      |